

Proposal Form: Fitchburg State University All University Committee 2025-2026

Proposal Summary

1. Proposal Title *

For purposes of the AUC database, the proposal title may be changed.

Revision to the Academic Integrity Policy and Procedures

2. Brief Proposal Summary *

All proposals that involve curriculum change(s) require review by the Department Curriculum and the Department Chair.

Policy: The policy was modified in the following ways:

- Align with current practices in higher education.
- Explicitly address the rapidly expanding use of artificial intelligence in academic work.
- Establish a clear, positive definition of academic integrity and adoption of more student-friendly language.
- Embed the concept of "Being in Community" as a foundational element of academic honesty.

Procedures: The procedures were modified in the following ways:

- Move the adjudication process from Student Affairs to Academic Affairs.
- Create an academic conduct record housed by the Registrar.
- Create an Academic Integrity Review Board consisting of a Chair who is an Academic Affairs administrator, two faculty members and one student member who review cases of academic integrity allegations for both undergraduate and graduate students.
- Provide enhanced due process for students.
- Re-examine reporting and sanction models to include tiered sanctions. that emphasize learning and development.

Overall, the goal is to ensure that the revised policy and its associated procedures would be demonstrably student-centered, equitable, and fair in their application.

From our standpoint, there are 3 issues that need to be approved.

- Revised Academic Integrity Policy
 - Revised Academic Integrity Procedures
 - Creation of an MSCA Academic Integrity Review Board (See attached supplemental document for details about the Review Board)
-

3. Sponsoring Department *

Provost Council & Student Success Taskforce

4. Contact Person *

Jacalyn Kremer & Connie Strittmatter

5. Contact Email *

jkremer@fitchburgstate.edu; cstrittm@fitchburgstate.edu

6. Contact Phone *

Jacalyn Kremer - X3833; Connie Strittmatter - x4222

7. Are you requesting Referral Not Required (RNR) for this proposal? *

Pending AUC review and approval as an RNR request, changes will then be sent to the Registrar's Office for processing. If after AUC review it is determined this request does not meet the RNR requirements (see next section), the proposer will be notified that a full AUC proposal will need to be submitted (reminder: if this notification is received after the AUC deadline for the year, the proposal will need to be submitted in the next academic year).

☐ Yes

☒ No

11. Provide detail below as to how the course will appear in the catalog after changes

Proposal Detail

Indicate the type of change to be directed to the appropriate questions.

12. Change type *

- ☐ Course
- ☐ Program
- ☒ Policy
- ☐ Changes to BA/BS Degrees

Change(s) to Policy(ies)

26. Policy as it currently appears in the catalog *

Academic Integrity Policy

Every member of the university community is expected to maintain the highest standards of academic

integrity. A student shall not submit work that is falsified or is not the result of the student's own effort. A student who is in doubt regarding standards of academic integrity in a course or assignment should consult the faculty member responsible for that course or assignment before submitting the work. A student's lack of understanding of the academic integrity policy is not a valid defense to a charge of academic dishonesty.

A student's name on any written or creative exercise (e.g., examination, report, thesis, theme, laboratory report, computer program, artistic production, etc.), or in association with an oral presentation, declares that the work is the result of that student's own thought and study.

Any work that the student declares as his or her own shall be stated in the student's own words and produced without the assistance of others. Students must make clear through accurate citations when they make use of other sources. Talking during an examination, or possession or use of unauthorized materials or equipment during an examination constitutes an infringement of the academic integrity policy. Aiding and abetting academic dishonesty also constitutes a violation of the academic integrity policy.

Unless permission is received in advance from the faculty member in charge of the course involved, a student may not submit, in identical or similar form, work for one course that has been used to fulfill any academic requirement in another course at Fitchburg State University or any other institution. A student who perceives the possibility of overlapping assignments in courses should consult with the appropriate faculty members before presuming that a single effort will fulfill requirements of both courses. Students should consult course syllabi for additional guidance on matters of academic integrity.

Academic Integrity Procedures

When an alleged offense of the Academic Integrity Policy has occurred, the following process will apply:

1. If the accuser is a faculty member, and s/he decides to make a formal accusation of a violation of the academic integrity policy, the faculty member will provide the student with a letter describing the case for academic dishonesty within fourteen days of discovering the alleged infringement. This letter may be presented to the student in person or delivered to the student's home, local or campus address or mailbox, or Fitchburg State University email account.

The student will either a) agree with the accusation of academic dishonesty and the sanction as imposed by the faculty member or b) disagree with the accusation of academic dishonesty. (A student cannot agree with the accusation of academic dishonesty but disagree with the sanction. Disagreement with a sanction, in other words, is not grounds for an appeal.) If the student has agreed with the accusation and signed the letter accordingly, the sanction identified by the faculty member will be imposed, and the matter will be considered closed. If the student disagrees with the accusation and again signs the letter accordingly, the student may appeal the matter to the university conduct board. With all formal accusations of a violation of the academic integrity policy, the faculty member will forward the letter with the student's signature and other relevant information to the Office of Student Affairs. (Proceed to No. 3 below.)

2. If the accuser is not a faculty member, and s/he decides to initiate the formal process, the accuser must submit a report and/or relevant information to the Office of Student Affairs within fourteen days of

discovering the alleged infringement of the academic integrity policy.

3. Once a case is forwarded by a student or as an appeal (in the form of a report, signed letter and/or other relevant information), the Office of Student Affairs, or designee, will review all relevant information and either a) dismiss the incident due to lack of merit or timeliness or b) contact the accused student to schedule a conduct board hearing or when a board is not available an administrative hearing may be scheduled.

4. If the case goes to the conduct board, the board will determine whether the student is responsible or not responsible for violating the academic integrity policy. If the student is found responsible, the board will recommend sanctions to the appropriate academic dean. These sanctions will include those identified by the faculty member and, in the event a student has a prior disciplinary record, may also include the additional sanctions of:

- a grade of zero on the assignment;
- a failing grade in the course;
- suspension from Fitchburg State University;
- dismissal from Fitchburg State University;
- or other sanctions.

5. In cases of undergraduate student violations of the academic integrity policy, the appropriate academic dean will either impose the sanction recommended by the conduct board or determine that the sanction is excessive or inadequate and alter it accordingly. 6. The student may make a final appeal only for a sanction of suspension or dismissal.

Note: If the student agrees with the academic dishonesty finding by the faculty member, the case will not go before the conduct board; however, if s/he has a prior disciplinary record, the Office of Student Affairs may pursue other charges and sanctions once the academic integrity issue has been resolved.

27. Policy as it will appear in the catalog *

Please go to link to Google document @

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15tNRMI3LjINpbRS40erdcnzfgZjILQU124Rnyhy9ou8/edit?usp=sharing>

Rationale

28. Rationale for the Proposal *

The academic integrity policy was last updated in 2007. The policy needs to be updated for the following reasons:

- In the current policy, artificial intelligence is not addressed.
- The current procedures for adjudicating cases include a mix of Student Affairs personnel and academic deans. The new procedures propose the creation of an academic integrity review board consisting of faculty members and students with an Academic Affairs administrator as an ex-officio chair.
- The current policy has no definition of academic integrity.
- The current language in the policy is not student-friendly.
- In the current procedures, student options to respond to alleged violations were limited. It includes admitting to violating the academic integrity process and accepting the sanction imposed by the faculty member or denying the allegation of academic dishonesty altogether. There is no option that a student could agree that they violated the policy but disagree with the sanction imposed.
- The current policy does not position academic integrity as a shared responsibility among the campus community. The proposed revision lays the foundation for academic integrity as a shared responsibility.

Note: Please see supplemental documents attached for additional information.

Consultations and Approvals

All proposals that involve curriculum change require review by the Department Curriculum Committee and the Department Chair.

Requesting Department Approvals

32. Collaborating Parties

Please list each collaborating department or individual.

Provost Council: Dr. Patricia Marshall, Dr. Franca Barricelli, Dr. Becky Copper-Glenz, Dr. Sara Levine, Dr. Mojdeh Bayat, Dr. Jannette McMenamy, Dr. Mahmoud Al-Odeh, Jacalyn Kremer, Andrew Goodwin, Barbara Cormier

Student Success Task Force 2024-2025: Amanda Sapienza, Lindsay Carpenter Connors, Barbara Cormier, Dr. Ralph Fasano, Kat McLellan, Tim St. John, Bettiann Peura, Jacalyn Kremer (co-chair), Jason Smith (co-chair), Dr. Mary Baker, Dr. Amy Wehe

Student Success Task Force 2023-2024: Amanda Sapienza, Lindsay Carpenter Connors, Barbara Cormier, Dr. Ralph Fasano, Michelle Cota, Kat McLellan, Bettiann Peura, Jacalyn Kremer (co-chair), Jason Smith (co-chair), Dr. Sarah Wright, Dr. Erin Rehrig, Dr. Denise Simion

Individuals:

Connie Strittmater, Special Projects Librarian

Tim St. John, Dean of Students and Chief Student Affairs Officer

Dr. Zachary Miner, 22-23 Academic Integrity Working Group

Dr. Christine Devine, Nursing Dept., 22-23 Academic Integrity Working Group

33. Collaborating Department(s) Curriculum Committee(s) Vote(s) (# For / # Against / # Abstain)

34. Upload Documentation (if applicable)

Ancillary Docum...

📁 Add file

Dean Submissions

35. Submitted to Appropriate Dean(s) *

☒ Yes

36. Name of Dean *

Dr. Becky Copper-Glenz, Dr. Sara Levine, Dr. Mojdeh Bayat, Dr. Jannette McMenamy, Dr. Mahmoud Al-Odeh, Jacalyn Kremer

This form was created inside of Fitchburg State University.

Google Forms

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY POLICY AND PROCEDURES

Table of Contents

[Academic Integrity Policy](#)

[Academic Integrity Procedures](#)

Academic Integrity Policy

Glossary of Terms

Academic Conduct Record - The electronic record which includes the Academic Integrity allegation letter provided to the student by the faculty member and the decision letter by the Academic Integrity Review Board, if there is a hearing. If the student is found not responsible, the documentation will be removed. If a case dismissal letter is issued, that will be placed in the Academic Conduct Record. No information will be released from the Academic Conduct Record outside of the academic integrity process unless prior consent is given by the student or a court order is issued requesting the information.

Academic Integrity - The honest, transparent, and ethical behaviors in all aspects of academic work. It requires a firm adherence by all members of our university community to fundamental values. These fundamental values include honesty, trust, fairness, responsibility, respect, and courage ([International Center for Academic Integrity](#))

Academic Integrity Review Board - A board consisting of a Chair who is an Academic Affairs administrator, two faculty members and one student member who review cases of academic integrity allegations for both undergraduate and graduate students.

Advisor - An individual who provides support and/or advice to a student or faculty member during the academic integrity hearing process. During an academic integrity hearing, an advisor may observe, advise, or pass notes to the party but may not ask questions, speak, or directly participate in the process.

Artificial Intelligence - computer systems capable of performing complex tasks that historically only a human could do. Two types of artificial intelligence that may be associated with academic dishonesty include:

- Generative artificial intelligence (genAI) uses large language models and datasets to create human-like content, such as text, images, audio, and code.
- Natural language processing (NLP) assists or automates the writing process. It goes beyond spellchecking and uses machine learning tools to provide suggestions for grammar, tone, clarity, and style.

Plagiarism - Intentionally or knowingly presenting the work of another as one's own (i.e., without proper acknowledgment of the source). Ideas and information that are common knowledge is the sole exception to the requirement of acknowledging sources.

Sanction - A requirement a student must abide by or complete when found responsible for violating the Academic Integrity policy. A sanction can range from redoing the assignment to failing the class to suspension from the university depending upon the severity of the incident and the history of violations by the individual student.

The Importance of Academic Integrity

Academic integrity furthers the central purpose of the university: to foster high-quality learning, teaching, and research which arises from the intellectual and creative work of our entire academic community. Just as faculty are expected to follow the fundamental values of academic integrity in their own scholarship, so too are students. When students put forth their best efforts and act with integrity, they gain the knowledge, skills, and confidence that come from earned success and ultimately develop the habits of mind needed to become lifelong learners and educated citizens.

This policy is designed to cultivate an academic environment of honesty and integrity by ensuring that undergraduate and graduate students understand and adhere to ethical standards in their academic work. By maintaining high standards of academic integrity, Fitchburg State University protects the value of the educational process and the credibility of the institution and its graduates in the larger community. This policy outlines the academic integrity standards that apply to students and provides procedures to address alleged violations, fostering a fair and supportive educational environment.

Definition of Academic Integrity

Academic integrity is the practice of honest, transparent, and ethical behaviors in all aspects of academic work. It requires a firm adherence by all members of our university community to fundamental values. These fundamental values include honesty, trust, fairness, responsibility, respect, and courage ([International Center for Academic Integrity](#)).

Fitchburg State University Academic Community Responsibilities

Our scholarly community flourishes when all members are committed to upholding the values of academic integrity. Through our daily practices, students, faculty and administrators have responsibilities to uphold the highest standards of academic integrity.

Student Responsibilities:

To uphold academic integrity, students shall:

- Produce work that results from their own thought and study.
- Complete and submit academic work that is an honest and fair representation of their knowledge and abilities at the time of submission.

- Be responsible for knowing and following the academic integrity standards as outlined in course syllabi. This includes course policies for the use, disclosure, and citation of artificial intelligence and other tools.
- Actively seek clarification from your faculty member if uncertain about academic integrity standards in their course or in this academic integrity policy. A lack of understanding of the academic integrity standards and policy is not a valid defense if charged with an academic integrity violation.

No student shall engage in an activity that undermines their own academic integrity or facilitates academic integrity violations by others. This includes, but is not limited to, the following behaviors:

Plagiarism includes, but is not limited to:

1. Using others' (human or machine/artificial intelligence) ideas, words, research, or artistic creations **without giving credit**.
2. Citing source material improperly or inadequately:
 - a. Using facts, data, or specific ideas without citing the source.
 - b. Using inaccurate or incomplete citation of sources.
 - c. Quoting another's work without indicating it is a quotation.
 - d. Using artificial intelligence generated material without proper citation.

Seeking credit for the same work in more than one course includes, but is not limited to:

1. Submitting the same work, or significant portion of the same work, to two or more different courses without getting permission from the faculty member(s).
2. Using one's own ideas, words, research, or artistic creations from prior work submitted in a course without proper citation to the previous work (self-plagiarism).

Misrepresentation or falsification of information includes, but is not limited to:

1. Intentionally misrepresenting information, including misquoting or purposefully taking ideas out of context.
2. Falsifying data, its collection or its interpretation in a research project.
3. Fabricating sources including through artificial intelligence
4. Fabricating data or research findings using artificial intelligence.
5. Intentionally failing to include an accurate account of the method by which data was gathered or collected.
6. Presenting information or data not collected in accordance with standard applicable ethical guidelines, including the Institutional Research Board (IRB), Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), and Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC).

Cheating includes, but is not limited to the following acts:

1. Possessing, using, or attempting to use in any academic exercise (such as an examination, paper, or other assignment) **unauthorized** materials, information, or technology, including cell phones or artificial intelligence tools.
2. Copying another student's work.
3. Taking sole credit for work produced by joint efforts.
4. Sharing or receiving answers for either a take-home or in-class examination unless explicitly permitted by the faculty member
5. Engaging (whether paid or unpaid) another person or commercial service to take an exam or prepare assigned work.
6. Working on an examination outside the time limits specified by the faculty member unless an accommodation from Disability Services is documented.

Facilitation includes but is not limited to:

1. Assisting another student to commit an act of academic dishonesty (for example, taking an examination for another student, knowingly allowing another student to copy your work).
2. Disseminating course assessment materials (for example, online exams, homework assignments, pictures of exams).
3. Collaborating with others on an assignment when individual work is required unless explicitly permitted by the faculty member.

Faculty Responsibilities:

1. Applying this academic integrity policy fairly and consistently.
2. Promoting academic integrity through clear expectations on syllabi for all aspects of the course, including homework, collaborative assignments, research papers, and exams.
3. Indicating whether artificial intelligence is permitted to be used in a course.
4. If artificial intelligence is permitted, providing clear expectations on how and when it may be used, including in homework, assignments, research papers, and exams.
5. Offering guidance to students who have questions about standards of academic integrity in the course or in the academic integrity policy.

Administrator Responsibilities:

1. Promoting a culture of academic integrity for all members of the academic community.
2. Ensuring that students who have allegations of violations of academic integrity against them receive due process by addressing the alleged violation in a fair and timely manner.
3. Reviewing and revising the academic integrity policy regularly in accordance with university governance and inclusive of all voices.
4. Supporting faculty, students, and staff who follow the policies and uphold standards.

Academic Integrity Procedures

When an alleged offense of the Academic Integrity Policy has occurred, the following process will apply:

Alleging a violation of academic integrity

If a faculty member decides to make a formal allegation of a violation of the academic integrity policy, they will provide the student with a letter describing the case for academic dishonesty, the sanction and outlines the possible responses available to the students. The faculty member should submit the letter signed by the faculty member and the student outlining the academic integrity allegation to the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board.

The faculty member can apply a sanction up to failing a class. If the alleged academic violation is so egregious that the faculty member believes that a sanction beyond failing the class is warranted, the faculty member should consult with the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board to determine if a more severe sanction can be applied.

If the accuser is not a faculty member, and they decide to initiate the formal process, the accuser must submit a letter describing the case for academic dishonesty to the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board.

Timing of the Alleged Offense

Violations must be reported within fourteen calendar days of discovering the alleged violation and must have occurred during the current academic semester or within the completion of all work associated within the given semester.

Student Notification of Allegation

The faculty member or the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board (in cases where someone other than the faculty member reports an allegation of academic integrity) will send the notification letter to the student via the Fitchburg State University email account.

A student cannot drop or withdraw from the course until a resolution has been reached. A temporary hold will be put on the student record (blocks registration and drop/withdraw a class) by the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board. The name of the hold will be "Academic Hold", with the course name noted in the description. Registration changes (add, drops, and withdrawals) unrelated to the identified course will be managed by the Registrar's Office until the hold has been lifted, provided all standard procedures are followed, such as obtaining advisor approval for a course withdrawal after the add/drop period.

Student Responses

Upon notification of the alleged academic integrity violation, the student can respond in the following ways:

a) Student agrees with the allegation of academic dishonesty and the sanction as imposed by the faculty member or Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board.

The student agrees with the allegation and sanction and signs the letter accordingly. The sanction identified by the faculty member will be imposed. The letter signed by the faculty member and the student will be placed in the University's Academic Conduct Record.

The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will review all submissions to the Academic Conduct Record for this student. If the student has multiple admitted or proven allegations of academic integrity on file, the Chair can convene the Academic Integrity Review Board to consider additional sanctions based on repeated behaviors.

b) Student agrees with the allegation of academic dishonesty but disagrees with the sanction imposed.

If the student agrees with the allegation, but disagrees with the sanction, the student may submit an appeal to the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board. The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will review the student's appeal as well as other evidence such as course syllabus and the allegation to determine whether the case should be heard by the entire Review Board. In most cases, if the sanction falls within what is listed in the syllabus, the sanction stands and the case is not heard by the Academic Integrity Review Board. In rare cases, the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board may send the appeal to the Academic Integrity Review Board. The Academic Integrity Review Board may issue a less severe sanction than identified by the faculty member. In cases of multiple admitted or proven allegations of academic integrity on file, the Academic Integrity Review Board may issue additional or more severe sanctions than initially recommended by the faculty member.

c). Student denies the allegation of academic dishonesty.

If the student disagrees with the allegation and signs the letter accordingly, the student may appeal the matter to the Academic Integrity Review Board. The faculty member will forward the letter of signed denial by the student and other relevant information to the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board. In rare cases, the Academic Integrity Review Board may issue a less severe sanction than identified by the faculty member. In cases of multiple admitted or proven allegations of academic integrity on file, the Academic Integrity Review Board may issue additional or more severe sanctions than initially recommended by the faculty member.

Reviewing the Case

When a case is brought forward, the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will review all relevant information and either:

a) Dismiss the incident due to lack of timeliness in reporting the allegation.

If the case is dismissed due to lack of timeliness in reporting the allegation, a letter of dismissal is sent to the student, faculty member, and a copy of the dismissal letter is placed in the Academic Conduct Record. The decision is final.

b) Contact the accused student to schedule a hearing.

Each hearing will be heard by the Chair (non-voting ex-officio), two faculty/librarian members and one student. Cases involving undergraduate students will have an undergraduate serving as the student member of the board. In cases involving graduate students, a graduate student will serve as the student member of the board. The student with the alleged violation will have an opportunity to review the make-up of the hearing panel and can request a substitution of one member if a substitute is available.

The hearing will be scheduled within 2-15 business days. If extenuating situations prevent the hearing from occurring within 15 business days, the Review Board will contact the faculty member and student and make every effort to schedule as close to the 15-day window as possible.

Hearings for alleged violations that occur in the summer or hearings that extend into the summer are held during the fall semester unless the student requests that the hearing takes place during the summer. The hearing panel will consist of the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board and three individuals designated by the Provost.

The student has the right to work with an advisor throughout the process. An advisor is not permitted to directly address the Academic Integrity Review Board members and may not participate directly in any meeting. When the accused student's advisor is an attorney, the University may also have legal counsel present. If a student needs assistance locating an advisor, the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board can provide a list of people who can serve in that capacity.

The hearing will be closed and not recorded. The hearings can be either in-person or online. In addition to the Academic Integrity Review Board, the following individuals may attend:

1. Faculty member and an advisor
2. Student and an advisor
3. Any witnesses to the allegation.

The Academic Integrity Review Board will determine whether the student is responsible or not responsible for violating the academic integrity policy. Within 3 business days, the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will notify the student of the results of the hearing. If the student is found responsible, the Board will determine the sanctions which include those identified by the faculty member. In the event a student has prior academic integrity violation(s), the Board may impose additional or more severe sanctions.

Based on the severity of the incident and the history of violations by the individual student, sanctions imposed by the Academic Integrity Review Board can range from the faculty member's sanction to suspension or dismissal from Fitchburg State University.

The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will send a letter to the student and faculty explaining the Academic Integrity Review Board's ruling as to whether the student violated the academic integrity policy. If there is a violation of the academic integrity policy, the letter will also include any sanctions or revised sanctions. A copy of the letter will be placed in the Academic Conduct Record. All decisions made by the Academic Integrity Review Board are final. The student's academic advisor will be notified if multiple violations have occurred and if alternative disciplinary actions such as suspension or dismissal is imposed.

A student who is withdrawn from a course or the university as a result of an academic integrity violation may be ineligible to receive a reduction of charges for tuition, fees and/or room and meal plan/food. Requests for refunds align with the university's [Refund Policies for Tuition and Fees](#).

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY POLICY AND PROCEDURES

Table of Contents

Ancillary Documents

- [Tiered Violations, Interventions and Sanctions](#)
 - [Academic Integrity Review Board](#)
 - [Academic Conduct Record](#)
 - [Recommended Template Letter to Student](#)
-

Ancillary Documents

Academic Integrity Tiered Violations, Interventions and Sanctions

Academic integrity violations vary. This document offers suggestions to faculty members and the Academic Integrity Review Board on interventions and sanctions based on the severity of the incident and the history of violations by the individual student. The violations are split into four Tiers: Tier 1 is the mildest form of violation and Tier 4 is the most serious violation. While it is not possible to have an exhaustive list of all possible violations or sanctions, the sanctions may be guided by the severity of the violations as illustrated in the tables below. The severity of the sanction may be dependent on several factors including the student's year in college, whether the student is an undergraduate or graduate student and the type of assignment (3-page paper vs. Honors thesis).

Prior to issuing a sanction, a faculty may consult with their department chair, dean or chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board.

A student reserves the right to consult with an advisor (see definition in glossary) or the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board when deciding whether to appeal a sanction.

TIER 1 VIOLATIONS

Description	A Tier 1 Academic Integrity violation occurs when a student's act (1) appears unintentional, or (2) can be reasonably attributed to a lack of understanding of academic conventions or the faculty member's expectations, or (3) is considered minor or developmental in nature. The faculty member can decide whether multiple Tier 1 violations within an assignment or across assignments in their course will be treated as a Tier 2 violation.
Examples	• Using others' (human or machine/artificial intelligence) ideas, words, research or artistic creations without giving credit • Citing source material improperly or inadequately • Possessing unauthorized materials, information or technology during an exam or other assignments
Possible Outcomes	• The instructor will explain to the student why the behavior is considered a violation of Academic Integrity. • Interventions that the instructor may require students to undertake: ○ Re-read the University's Academic Integrity Policy and the course syllabus and expectations. Write a summary or respond to questions. ○ Refer student to the Academic Coaching and Tutoring Center or Library for information about proper citations and referencing. ○ Re-do the assignment. • Reduced grade in the assignment/quiz/project/exam. • It is recommended that the instructor consider treating multiple Tier 1 violations as a Tier 2 violation. Tier 1 cases are kept in the Academic Conduct Record.

TIER 2 VIOLATIONS

Description	A Tier 2 Academic Integrity violation occurs when a student's act (1) is intentional and the student can reasonably be expected to be aware that the act violated the Student Academic Integrity Policy, or (2) if the student
--------------------	---

	has committed prior Tier 1 violations in the course and the faculty member decides that the repeated incidents constitute a Tier 2 violation.
Examples	● Intentionally misrepresenting information to help make a point not supported by the work, including misquoting or taking ideas out of context, and/or fabricating sources. ● Not citing significant portions of an assignment ● Intentionally copying others' work ● Allowing others to copy your work ● Collaborating with other students to produce individually submitted work without explicit permission. ● Using, or attempting to use unauthorized materials, information, technology including cell phones, artificial intelligence tools or study aids in any academic exercise such as an examination, paper, or other assignment. ● Seeking credit for the same work in more than one course without prior approval of both instructors. ● Multiple Tier 1 violations (as tracked by the faculty member)
Possible Outcomes	Outcomes ● The instructor will explain to the student why the behavior is considered a violation of Academic Integrity. ● Reduced grade in the assignment/quiz/project/exam. ● Failing grade in the assignment/quiz/project/exam. ● Immediate removal from a final exam when cheating is evident. ● The instructor may require other remedial actions such as: ○ Re-read the Academic Integrity policy and write a summary or respond to questions. ○ Refer to resources about proper citations and referencing. ○ Require re-reading the syllabus and collaboration expectations. Tier 2 cases are kept in the Academic Conduct Record.

TIER 3 VIOLATIONS

Description	A Tier 3 violation occurs when (1) a student's act of academic dishonesty is intentional and egregious, OR (2) the student has TWO prior Tier 2 findings, OR (3) ONE other prior Tier 3 finding of academic integrity violations.
Examples	• Taking sole credit for the product of joint efforts with another person. • Falsifying data, its collection or its interpretation in a research project. • Fabricating data or research findings using artificial intelligence. • Disseminating course assessment materials (for example, online exams, homework assignments, pictures of exams).
Possible Outcomes	• The instructor will explain to the student why the behavior is considered a violation of Academic Integrity. • Failing grade in the course • Removal from course (for use by the Academic Integrity Review Board only). Tier 3 cases are kept in the Academic Conduct Record.

TIER 4 VIOLATIONS: Suspension or Dismissal

October 27, 2025

Description	• A Tier 4 violation occurs when (1) a student has three or more prior recorded Tier 2 violations OR (2) TWO prior Tier 3 violations OR (3) when the student's act of academic dishonesty is so severe or egregious that it warrants a suspension, dismissal or other disciplinary actions of the highest severity. • All Tier 4 violations are referred to, and decided by, the Academic Integrity Review Board
Examples	• Engaging (whether paid or unpaid) another person or commercial service to take an exam or prepare assigned work. • Using a commercial service to take an exam or prepare assigned work. • Taking an examination for another student
Possible Outcomes	Tier 4 Violations should automatically be referred to the Academic Integrity Review Board. • Suspension from the University. • Dismissal from University. • Reduction or revoking of financial aid or scholarship. • Ban from certain activities or access to certain resources or facilities. • Rescinding admission into a department, program, or internship. • Other sanction(s) as deemed appropriate by the Review Board. Tier 4 violations are kept in the Academic Conduct Record.

Academic Integrity Review Board (AUC proposal language)

Charge: The purpose of the Academic Integrity Review Board is to adjudicate allegations of academic integrity violations. This would be an official ongoing committee.

Rationale: Academic integrity violations are academic issues. Currently academic integrity cases are decided within Student Affairs as a conduct issue. The Academic Integrity Review Board will move the adjudication process from Student Affairs to Academic Affairs with decision-making residing with faculty and students.

Academic integrity violations directly impact the pursuit of knowledge and academic achievement. Placing the appeals process within Academic Affairs allows for faculty expertise and subject-matter understanding to be central to the adjudication process. It also reinforces the concept that academic integrity is intrinsically linked to the curriculum and the assessment of learning.

Including students on the board's makeup fosters a sense of shared responsibility and promotes peer accountability within the academic community. Student perspectives offer valuable insights into the context of alleged violations. Their participation also cultivates a deeper understanding of academic integrity principles among the student body.

Board Make-up

The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will be an administrator from Academic Affairs appointed by the Provost. In the absence of the Chair, the Provost may designate another individual to serve in their stead.

The Board will consist of up to 13 faculty/librarians appointed per the MSCA contract, with a preferred representation as follows:

- Three faculty from the School of Arts and Sciences
- Three faculty from School of Health and Natural Sciences
- Two faculty from the School of Education
- Two faculty from the School of Business and Technology
- Two full-time faculty who teach in the School of Graduate, Online, and Continuing Education and
- One librarian

This committee will be appointed by the MSCA Chapter President per the contract on a staggered appointment and up to 10 students will be selected annually through an application and review process with the opportunity to continue their appointment upon approval by the Chair. Student representation on the committee will include undergraduate and graduate students.

Committee membership appointments are for two years. To establish a staggered appointment schedule, the make-up of the board for the first year would consist of 7 faculty/librarians on a 1-year appointment, and 6 faculty/librarians on a 2-year appointment.

Although the Board is large, members would likely be asked to serve on a hearing panel a few times a year. Each case would be heard by the Chair (non-voting ex-officio), two faculty/librarian

members and one student. Cases involving undergraduate students will have an undergraduate student serving as the student member of the board. In cases involving a graduate student, a graduate student will serve as the student member of the board.

The Chair will select faculty/librarians and students on the Review Board who do not have a conflict of interest in the case to serve on the hearing panel. To avoid conflict of interests, the Chair will not select faculty from the department in which the alleged violation occurred or the student's home department. Upon being asked to serve on a specific case, Faculty/Librarians and students should alert the Chair of any conflicts they have that might not be evident to the Chair. The student with the alleged violation will have an opportunity to review the make-up of the hearing panel and can request a substitution of one member if a substitute is available.

Board Appointments

Because academic integrity violations and cases occur throughout the academic year, including summer, appointments to the committee will be done at the end of the prior academic year. Appointments will run through September 1 through May 31.

Academic Dishonest Allegations and Hearings during Summer

Hearings for alleged violations that occur in the summer or hearings that extend into the summer are held during the fall semester unless the student requests that the hearing takes place during the summer. The hearing panel will consist of the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board and three individuals designated by the Provost.

Duties of the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board

The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will be an administrator from Academic Affairs. The Chair will have the following responsibilities:

Case Management:

- Oversee the intake, review, and scheduling of alleged academic integrity violations appeals.
- Determine whether an allegation of appeal will move forward to the Academic Integrity Review Board. Examples of cases that may not move forward if allegations are not submitted within a timely manner and student appeals of the sanctions that align with what is contained within the faculty syllabi.
- Ensure timely and thorough investigations are conducted.
- Maintain accurate and confidential records of all cases.

Board Leadership:

- Preside over Review Board meetings.
- Facilitate productive discussions and guide the Board towards informed decisions.
- Ensure adherence to due process and procedural fairness.

Adjudication and Decision-Making:

October 27, 2025

- Lead the Board in reviewing evidence and determining responsibility for alleged violations.
- Ensure consistent application of sanctions.

Notification and Record Keeping:

- Within three business days of the hearing, prepare and submit official notification letters to students, faculty, and other relevant parties regarding the Board's decisions.
- In conjunction with the Registrar's office, maintain the Academic Conduct Record of academic integrity violations.
- Monitor the Academic Conduct Record for repeat violations, and convene the Board to apply additional or more severe sanctions.

Training and Education:

- Provide training and guidance to Review Board members on academic integrity policies and procedures.
- Collaborate with faculty and staff to promote a culture of academic integrity across the institution.
- Participate in educational initiatives for students regarding academic integrity.

Policy and Procedure Oversight:

- Monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of existing academic integrity policies and procedures.
- Recommend revisions and updates as needed to ensure fairness and consistency.
- Serve as the point of contact between the board and the academic administration.

Academic Conduct Record

Academic Conduct Record Definition: The electronic record which includes the Academic Integrity allegation letter provided to the student by the faculty member and the decision letter by the Academic Integrity Review Board if there is a hearing. If the student is found not responsible, the documentation will be removed. No information will be released from the Academic Conduct Record outside of the academic integrity process unless prior consent is given by the student or a court order is issued requesting the information.

When a student is alleged to violate the academic integrity policy, the Academic Integrity allegation letter provided to the student by the faculty member is also submitted by the faculty member to the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board. The Chair then submits the letter to the Academic Conduct Record. The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will review any other submissions to the Academic Conduct Record for that student. If the student has

multiple admitted or proven allegations on file, the Chair can convene the Review Board to consider additional or more severe sanctions based on repeated behaviors.

The Registrar's office will maintain the Academic Conduct Record of academic integrity violations using Extender which requires Banner access. The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will have access to and contribute to the Academic Conduct Record.

Reporting academic integrity violations to external groups:

- In the event that an employer or graduate school requests the student conduct record for a student and the student provides written consent for the release of information, only Tier 3 and 4 level violations or 2 or more Tier 1 and 2 violations showing a pattern of academic dishonesty will be shared. A single tier 1 or 2 level incident will not be shared unless ordered by a court.
 - If a faculty member is writing a letter of recommendation for a student in which academic integrity needs to be taken into consideration, the faculty member can ask the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board whether a student has any proven violations of academic integrity. This information will only be released if the student has provided written consent for the release of the information.
-

Recommended Template Letter to Student

Date

Dear [student name]

I am writing this letter to let you know that I have determined that the **[describe assignment]** you submitted for **[Course name]** is in violation of the University's Academic Integrity policy. Below is documentation explaining how I came to this determination.

[paragraph describing the case for academic dishonesty]

As clearly stated on my syllabus, all work for this course must be original and conform to the rules and regulations in the Academic Integrity policy. Based on my findings, and in accordance with stated policies in the syllabus and the policy about **[describe violation]**, I consider the appropriate sanction to be **[describe sanction]**

As the Academic Policy outlines, after I inform you of my findings and sanction, you can take one of three actions.

1). Agree with the allegation of academic dishonesty and the sanction imposed by my professor.

What this means:

You agree with the allegation and sanction and sign the letter accordingly. The sanction will be imposed. The signed letter will be placed in the University's Academic Conduct Record and the matter will be considered closed.

The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will review all submissions to the Academic Conduct Record for this student. If the student has multiple admitted or proven allegations of academic integrity on file, the Chair can convene the Academic Integrity Review Board to consider additional sanctions based on repeated behaviors.

2). Agree with the allegation of academic dishonesty but disagree with the sanction imposed.

What this means:

You agree that you violated the academic integrity policy, but disagree with the sanction I have decided upon. You may submit an appeal to the Chair of the Academic Integrity Board. The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will review your appeal as well as other evidence such as course syllabus and the allegation to determine whether the case should be heard by the entire Review Board. In most cases, if the sanction falls within what is listed in the syllabus, the sanction stands and the case is not heard by the Academic Integrity Review Board. In rare cases, the Chair of the Academic Integrity

October 27, 2025

Review Board may send the appeal to the Academic Integrity Review Board. The Academic Integrity Review Board may issue a less severe sanction than identified by the faculty member. In cases of multiple admitted or proven allegations of academic integrity on file, the Academic Integrity Review Board may issue additional or more severe sanctions than initially recommended by the faculty member.

3). Deny the allegation of academic dishonesty.

What this means:

You disagree that you violated the academic integrity policy. You may appeal the matter to the Academic Integrity Review Board. The Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will convene a panel to hear the case. In rare cases, the Academic Integrity Review Board may issue a less severe sanction than identified by the faculty member. In cases of multiple admitted or proven allegations of academic integrity on file, the Academic Integrity Review Board may issue additional or more severe sanctions than initially recommended by the faculty member.

Please check the action you plan to pursue and sign the letter and return it to me. I will submit the signed document along with my rationale to the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board. While this letter serves as official notification of an alleged academic integrity violation, it does not preclude further discussions between us to ensure that you fully understand the academic integrity policy and provide suggestions on how to avoid these missteps in the future.

If you select option 2, the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will review the information and determine whether a hearing will be held. If you select option 3, a hearing will be scheduled.

All hearings will be scheduled within 2-15 business days. If extenuating situations prevent the hearing from occurring within 15 business days, the Chair of the Academic Integrity Review Board will contact you and make every effort to schedule as close to the 15-day window as possible.

You have the right to work with an advisor throughout the process. An advisor is an individual who provides support and/or advice to you during the academic integrity hearing process. During the hearing, an advisor may observe, advise, or pass notes to you, but may not ask questions, speak, or directly participate in the process. If you choose to have an attorney as your advisor, the University may also have legal counsel present. If you need assistance selecting an advisor, please contact the Dean of Students Office.

_____ Agree with the allegation of academic dishonesty and the sanction imposed by my professor

_____ Agree with the allegation of academic dishonesty but disagree with the sanction imposed.

_____ Deny the allegation of academic dishonesty.

Faculty Signature_____

Student Signature _____ Student ID Number_____

*Note: This letter is adapted from the current university letter -@
https://www.fitchburgstate.edu/sites/default/files/documents/2021-01/Accusation_Letter_from_Faculty_Plagarism.pdf
Format: Fillable PDF*